



## Appendix 1: Abstract Marking Rubric

### **ABSTRACT SELECTION PROCESS**

- Abstracts will be double blind reviewed by a panel of experts selected by the Scientific Program Committee.
- Abstract review criteria are based on clarity, supporting data, rigour, potential significance, interest in the topic chosen and innovation or usefulness.
- Abstract scores will guide the Scientific Programme Committee in selecting the abstracts for the different formats.
- Secondary criteria for the selection of abstracts for oral presentations are creating cohesive sessions and ensuring a well-balanced programme.
- Authors should indicate if they want an abstract to ONLY be considered for Case Race or Poster.
- If authors select "Oral" they will be considered for all appropriate formats. If authors opt for "Case Race" or "Poster" their abstract will not be considered for oral presentation (i.e., Oral, mini-oral or poster discussion) by the Scientific Programme Committee.

### **Scoring Guidelines**

Abstracts will be scored based on the following criteria:

- Clarity
- Supporting Data
- Rigour
- Potential Significance
- Interest in the Topic
- Innovation
- Usefulness

