ASMIRT-NZIMRT 2021 Conference- Abstract Marking Rubric			Abstract Title:	
Criteria	Not acceptable/ Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent
Relevance to Themes	☐ Title does not reflect abstract content☐ None or difficult to link to the conference theme/s	 Title reflects abstract content Abstract has a link to the conference theme/s May advance practice or the profession 	 □ Title reflects abstract content □ Abstract has a clear and easily identified link to the conference theme/s □ May advance practice or the profession 	 Title reflects abstract content Abstract has strong, clear links to the conference theme/s Clearly states how content will advance practice or the profession
Background For Non- Scientific ONLY Background For Scientific ONLY	 Unclear, basic or limited explanation as to what presentation covers ONLY MARK BACKGROUND ONCE 1 Unclear research aims or methodology No clear ethics application/approval despite clearly being required ONLY MARK BACKGROUND ONCE 1 	 □ Basic explanation of process and/or what presentation covers □ Some clarification required to understand what presentation covers □ Brief description of the research methods and aims □ Potential to improve study aims or process 	□ Good explanation of process and/or what presentation covers □ Some clarification required to understand what presentation covers 4 □ Good description of the research methods and aims □ Methods appropriate to study aims	 Excellent explanation of process and/or what presentation covers No clarification required to understand what presentation covers Excellent description and justification of research methods and aims Methods are optimal for study aims
Critical Appraisal	Abstract unclear, and difficult to determine purpose or aims of the study or content presented Abstract does not support purpose or aims of study	□ Basic description of content and/or study findings □ Brief outline of direction of study or content □ Basic details given of findings or content	 ☐ Good description of content and/or study findings ☐ Reasons given for direction and decisions made with the study or content ☐ Explanation of findings or content 	 Excellent description of content and/or study findings Clear and considered reasoning given for direction and decisions made Analysis of findings, and comments on the implications of those findings
Clarity of Abstract	□ Abstract is difficult to understand □ Contains many personal feelings □ Numerous errors □ No statement of permission from patient, if case study	Abstract is basic and/or seems to support the purpose or aims of the study or content Findings supported with some evidence or reasoning Some errors Limited professional tone in writing Permission from patient noted, if case study	Abstract is clear and/or supports the purpose or aims of the study or content Findings are based on supportive evidence A few minor errors Professional writing Permission from patient noted, if case study	Abstract clearly supports the purpose or aims of the study or content Findings are based on clear supportive evidence No errors Professional writing Permission from patient noted, if case study
Content	□ Topic has been thoroughly presented previously □ Difficult to determine if new or original, or interest to the profession □ References are required to support aspects of the abstract, but are not provided	☐ Content may improve practice ☐ Content will be of interest to sections of the profession ☐ References are provided where required, but there is potential to improve their relevance or currency	□ Content may improve practice □ Content is new, current, or intriguing □ Content will be of interest to audience within the profession □ References are provided where required, and appropriately support the abstract	□ Content will improve practice □ Content is new, current, or intriguing □ Content will be of great interest to wide audience within the profession □ References provided are relevant, and provide clear and strong support for the abstract
COMMENTS			SCIENTIFIC NON-SCIENTIFIC	MARKED BY TOTAL /25