



## ASMIRT/AACRT 2019 Conference - Abstract Marking Matrix (Non Scientific Paper/Case Study, Literature Review, Clinical Audit)

Criteria	Not acceptable	Below average	Average	Excellent	Outstanding
<b>Relevance of topic to conference theme/s</b>  <b>Max. 15 marks</b>	Abstract topic is not relevant to any of the conference themes (Patients – Professionals - Possibilities) and is not relevant to the attending audience. Title does not reflect abstract content.  (1)	Difficult to determine relevance of abstract topic to the conference themes (Patients – Professionals - Possibilities) and relevance to the attending audience. Title partly reflects abstract content.  (2-3)	Abstract topic is relevant to one or more of the conference themes (Patients – Professionals - Possibilities) and will be of interest to a small number of audience members. Title reflects abstract content.  (4-7)	Abstract topic is relevant to one or more of the conference themes (Patients – Professionals - Possibilities) and will be of interest to audience members. Title reflects abstract content.  (8-11)	Abstract topic is highly relevant to one or more of the conference themes (Patients – Professionals - Possibilities) and will be of great interest to a wide audience. Title reflects abstract content.  (12-15)
<b>Background</b>  <b>Max. 20 marks</b>	It is unclear what the case study/non-scientific research involved.  (1)	Basic description of what the case study/non-scientific research involved  (2-5)	Good description of what the case study/non-scientific research involved.  (6-10)	Excellent description of what the case study/non-scientific research involved.  (11-15)	Outstanding description of what the case study/non-scientific research involved.  (16-20)

## (Non Scientific Paper/Case Study, Literature Review, Clinical Audit)

Criteria	Not acceptable	Below average	Average	Excellent	Outstanding
<b>Critical Appraisal</b>  <b>Max. 30 marks</b>	Abstract content does not support the purpose or aims of the case study/non-scientific research.  <p style="text-align: right;">(1)</p>	It is difficult to determine if the abstract content supports the purpose or aims of the case study/non-scientific research  <p style="text-align: right;">(2-7)</p>	The content in the abstract supports the purpose or aims of the case study/non-scientific research  <p style="text-align: right;">(8-15)</p>	Excellent description of content, which supports the purpose of aims of the case study/non-scientific research  <p style="text-align: right;">(16-23)</p>	The content in the abstract outstandingly supports the purpose or aims of the case study/non-scientific research  <p style="text-align: right;">(24-30)</p>
<b>Clarity of Abstract</b>  <b>Max. 20 marks</b>	The reviewer is unable to read and follow the abstract submission. Abstract contains too many personal feelings on subject without reasoning or supporting evidence. Numerous spelling and grammatical errors exist. No statement that patient or carer permission was obtained to publish (case study).  <p style="text-align: right;">(1)</p>	Abstract contains some personal feelings without reasoning or supporting evidence. It is difficult to follow what the author is saying. Some spelling and grammatical errors exist. Permission was obtained from patient or carer to publish (case study).  <p style="text-align: right;">(2-5)</p>	Abstract is clear with opinions on subject supported with some reasoning or supportive evidence. Some spelling and grammatical errors exist. Permission was obtained from patient or carer to publish (case study).  <p style="text-align: right;">(6-10)</p>	Abstract is clear with opinions on subject supported with good reasoning or supportive evidence. No spelling or grammatical errors. Permission was obtained from patient or carer to publish (case study).  <p style="text-align: right;">(11-15)</p>	Abstract is free of bias. The author's views are based on supportive evidence and excellent reasoning. Abstract is clear and easy to follow with no spelling or grammatical errors. Permission was obtained from patient or carer to publish (case study).  <p style="text-align: right;">(16-20)</p>
<b>Novelty of Abstract</b>  <b>Max. 15 marks</b>	The abstract details information which is not new and has already been thoroughly presented.  <p style="text-align: right;">(1)</p>	It is difficult to determine if the topic presented provides new or original information.  <p style="text-align: right;">(2-3)</p>	The topic presented is current. It provides new, original information which will be of interest to a small number of audience members  <p style="text-align: right;">(4-7)</p>	The topic presented is current. It provides new, original information which will be of interest to audience members  <p style="text-align: right;">(8-11)</p>	The topic presented is current. It provides new, original information which will be of great interest to the audience  <p style="text-align: right;">(12-15)</p>