ASMIRT 2023 Conference - Abstract Marking Rubric

Abstract Title:

Criteria	Not acceptable/ Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent
Relevance to Themes	☐ Title does not reflect abstract content ☐ None or difficult to link to the conference theme/s 1	☐ Title reflects abstract content ☐ Abstract has a link to the conference theme/s ☐ May advance practice or the profession 3	☐ Title reflects abstract content ☐ Abstract has a clear and easily identified link to the conference theme/s ☐ May advance practice or the profession 4	☐ Title reflects abstract content ☐ Abstract has strong, clear links to the conference theme/s ☐ Clearly states how content will advance practice or the profession 5
Background **For** **Non-Scientific** **ONLY**	☐ Unclear, basic or limited explanation as to what presentation covers ONLY MARK BACKGROUND ONCE 1	☐ Basic explanation of process and/or what presentation covers ☐ Some clarification required to understand what presentation covers 3	☐ Good explanation of process and/or what presentation covers ☐ Some clarification required to understand what presentation covers 4	☐ Excellent explanation of process and/or what presentation covers ☐ No clarification required to understand what presentation covers 5
Background **For** **Scientific** **ONLY**	☐ Unclear research aims or methodology ☐ No clear ethics application/approval despite clearly being required ONLY MARK BACKGROUND ONCE 1	☐ Brief description of the research methods and aims ☐ Potential to improve study aims or process 3	☐ Good description of the research methods and aims ☐ Methods appropriate to study aims 4	☐ Excellent description and justification of research methods and aims ☐ Methods are optimal for study aims 5
Critical Appraisal	☐ Abstract unclear, and difficult to determine purpose or aims of the study or content presented ☐ Abstract does not support purpose or aims of study 1	☐ Basic description of content and/or study findings ☐ Brief outline of direction of study or content ☐ Basic details given of findings or content 3	☐ Good description of content and/or study findings ☐ Reasons given for direction and decisions made with the study or content ☐ Explanation of findings or content 4	☐ Excellent description of content and/or study findings ☐ Clear and considered reasoning given for direction and decisions made ☐ Analysis of findings, and comments on the implications of those findings 5
Clarity of Abstract	☐ Abstract is difficult to understand ☐ Contains many personal feelings ☐ Numerous errors ☐ No statement of permission from patient, if case study 1	☐ Abstract is basic and/or seems to support the purpose or aims of the study or content ☐ Findings supported with some evidence or reasoning ☐ Some errors ☐ Limited professional tone in writing ☐ Permission from patient noted, if case study 3	☐ Abstract is clear and/or supports the purpose or aims of the study or content ☐ Findings are based on supportive evidence ☐ A few minor errors ☐ Professional writing ☐ Permission from patient noted, if case study 4	☐ Abstract clearly supports the purpose or aims of the study or content ☐ Findings are based on clear supportive evidence ☐ No errors ☐ Professional writing ☐ Permission from patient noted, if case study 5
Content	☐ Topic has been thoroughly presented previously ☐ Difficult to determine if new or original, or interest to the profession ☐ References are required to support aspects of the abstract, but are not provided 1	☐ Content may improve practice ☐ Content will be of interest to sections of the profession ☐ References are provided where required, but there is potential to improve their relevance or currency 3	☐ Content may improve practice ☐ Content is new, current, or intriguing ☐ Content will be of interest to audience within the profession ☐ References are provided where required, and appropriately support the abstract 4	☐ Content will improve practice ☐ Content is new, current, or intriguing ☐ Content will be of great interest to wide audience within the profession ☐ References provided are relevant, and provide clear and strong support for the abstract 5

COMMENTS		MARKED BY	TOTAL /25
	SCIENTIFIC	NON-SCIENTIFIC	